1 2 3	Howard Ross Cabot, Bar #006669 Christopher S. Coleman, Bar #018287 Jacob C. Robertson, Bar #024763 PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A. 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788 Telephone: 602.351.8000		
4			
5	Facsimile: 602.648.7000		
6	HCabot@perkinscoie.com CColeman@perkinscoie.com		
7	JRobertson@perkinscoie.com		
8	Attorneys for Defendants		
9	The Vanguard Group, Inc. and Vanguard Marketing Corporation		
10	o a p		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
13	Barbara Borchers, as Trustee and as attorney in	No. CV08-02138-PHX-ROS	
14	fact and, and Jerald S. Chesler, as a Trustee of the Olson Living Trust,		
15	Plaintiffs,	VANGUARD'S SEPARATE	
16	v.	STATEMENT OF MATERIAL UNDISPUTED FACTS IN	
17	The Vanguard Group, Inc. and Vanguard	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
18	Marketing Corporation,		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	Pursuant to Local Rule LRCiv 56.1(a), The Vanguard Group, Inc. and Vanguard		
22	Marketing Corporation (collectively, "Vanguard") hereby submit the following Separate		
23	Statement of Material Undisputed Facts in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment.		
24	STATEMENT OF UNDISPUTED MATERIAL FACTS		
25	1. In April 2008, Betty Olson, in the presence of Barbara Borchers and estate		
26	attorney David Estes, authorized Vanguard to liquidate the funds remaining in the Olsor		

- 2. Barbara Borchers testified that the full and expected amount of the redemption arrived at her mother's residence, and there is nothing in connection with the April 2008 liquidation of funds that was fraudulent or otherwise inappropriate. [Counsel Decl., Ex. 1 (Borchers Dep.) at 116:13 118:10]
- 3. As part of its regular business operations, Vanguard prepares a periodic statement (at least quarterly) for each account. For accounts with check writing privileges, the statements identify each check by number, including the date and amount, that is paid on that account. [Affidavit of Joseph P. Parlapiano in Support of Vanguard's Motion for Summary Judgment ("Parlapiano Aff.") ¶ 4]
- 4. The statements are mailed to the address of record designated by the account holder shortly after the end of the statement period. In the event that a statement is returned as undeliverable, Vanguard contacts the client or freezes the account if the client is not reached. [Parlapiano Aff. ¶¶ 5-6]
- 5. Between 2005 and 2009, Vanguard mailed periodic account statements to the address of record for the Olson Living Trust Account within five days of the end of each reporting period, which is monthly or quarterly depending on the account activity. [Parlapiano Aff. ¶¶ 5, 7-8]
- 6. Vanguard's records show no returned mail in connection with the Olson Trust Account between 2005 and 2009. [Parlapiano Aff. ¶ 10]
- 7. Plaintiffs produced copies of "Third Party Copy" account statements delivered to "Barbara Borchers, 8913 North 80th Place, Scottsdale AZ 85258," ranging in date from July 31, 2007 (at B-0062) to May 31, 2008 (at B-0080). [Counsel Decl., Ex. 3 (excerpts from Exhibit No. 3 to Deposition of Barbara Borchers); *see also id.*, Ex. 6

(Plaintiffs' Supplemental Answers to Interrogatories)]

- 8. Ms. Borchers testified in deposition that she received copies of the account statements beginning around July 2007 at her mother's request and does not recall a time when she did not receive statements from that time forward. [Counsel Decl., Ex. 1 (Borchers Dep.) at 92:22 93:2 ("Q. . . . But from the time that letter was sent in July of 2007 requesting duplicate account statements be sent to your Scottsdale address, was there ever any time that you can recall that you did not receive the account statements? A. No, no.")]
- 9. The account statements Vanguard mailed in connection with the Olson Living Trust Account, identified the check number, amount, and date of payment of each check paid on the account. [Parlapiano Aff. ¶¶ 4, 9; Counsel Decl., Ex. 1, (Borchers Dep.) 86:13 87:7 ("Q. And if you look on Page 4 of that July account statement, which is B-0065, do you see that page? A. Sure, yes. Q. And if you look at the date in the left-hand column, what was your understanding of that date? A. 1-05. Q. Of the date column, generally? A. That those were dates in '06, the checks were written. Q. And if you look at the transaction where it says check writing, you understood that to be a check transaction; correct? A. Right. Q. And the number next to the check writing, you understood that to be the check number; correct? A. Yes. Q. And one column over, looking at the dollar amount, you understood that to be the dollar amount of the check; correct? A. Yes."]
- 10. Vanguard has no record of any *specific* checks reported by Ms. Olson as bearing an unauthorized signature. [Counsel Decl., Ex. 2 (Matysik Dep.) at 21:10-17 ("Q. Did those documents [Statement of Forgery] that you just described in that answer that Vanguard sends out to clients, did Vanguard send those to Betty Olson? A. No. Q. Why not? A. Because she never made a direct allegation that specific checks were being forged by her son, to my knowledge."), 25:7 16 ("Q. Did any Vanguard person in the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

20 to 25 phone calls that you listened to, did any Vanguard person say to Betty Olson or any other Olson family member, what checks or check numbers are you talking about so we can complete the form for you? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. Why didn't they do that? A. Because during the conversations that I listened to, there's never a conversation with our client where that allegation [allegation of a specific forged or altered check] is made on the telephone."); 37:14-38:19 (although client's daughter who was not authorized on the account sent a note requesting generally that payments be stopped, Vanguard never got specific information of forged or altered checks); 49:23-51:14 (... "Betty Olson does not at any point in time make any allegation on her calls that there's fraudulent checks being presented on her account. . . ."); 52:13 – 55:1 (stating Vanguard never received allegation from client as to whether checks were forged)]

11. Neither Ms. Olson nor Ms. Borchers has ever provided Vanguard with an affidavit of forgery in accordance with Vanguard's procedure or sought reimbursement for specific checks. [Counsel Decl., Ex. 1 (Borchers Dep.) at 41:17 – 42:16 (Ms. Olson did not want to report her son for check fraud because she did not want him to risk going to jail); 52:24-53:5 ("Q. During your three meetings with Vanguard, did you ever ask Vanguard to reimburse the amounts that had been written or any amounts that had been written on checks to the account? A. No. Q. Did your mother? A. No."); 67:13 – 16 ("Q. Did you ever hear your mother ask Vanguard to reimburse amounts that were written on checks from Vanguard accounts? A. No."); 93:3 – 11 ("Q. During your -between March 2008 and your visit in July 2007, when your mother was living in Las Cruces, did you ever request that Vanguard reimburse specific amounts on your mother's account? A. Did I ever request it? No. Q. Do you have any knowledge of whether your mother requested it? A. I never heard her request it, but I wasn't always present in the room."); 118:11 – 119:6 ("Q. And during April 2008 -- prior to receiving the check at your house in April 2008, do you recall a telephone conversation with Vanguard

## Case 2:08-cv-02138-REJ Document 62 Filed 10/29/10 Page 5 of 6

representatives in which you requested that Vanguard reimburse specific amounts of funds to your mother's account due to fraudulent checks? A. I don't recall specifically, but I do remember discussing the vast amounts that were missing, and how we would retrieve these. Q. And what specifically did you ask? A. I said how did one go about retrieving all this missing money. Q. And what were you told? A. I was told my mother and I would have to take legal action. Q. And what was your response to that? A. Thank you. Q. Did you fill out an affidavit of forgery. A. No. I told Mr. Estes. Q. Did you do anything other than that? A. No. No, I didn't."); Ex. 2 (Matysik Dep. 53:13 – 54:7 (client never provided affidavit because specific checks were never reported)]

PERKINS COIE BROWN & BAIN P.A.

By:/s/ Jacob C. Robertson
Howard Ross Cabot

Howard Ross Cabot Christopher S. Coleman Jacob C. Robertson 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2788

Attorneys for Defendants
The Vanguard Group, Inc. and
Vanguard Marketing Corporation

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that on October 29, 2010, I electronically transmitted the	
3	attached documents to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and	
4	transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following CM/ECF registrants:	
5	Philip J. Nathanson [philipj@nathanlawfirm.com]	
6	The Nathanson Law Firm 8765 East Bell Road, Suite 110 Scottsdale, Arizona 85260	
7		
8	Counsel for Plaintiffs	
9	I hereby certify that on October 29, 2010, I served the attached document	
10	by first class mail on Judge Silver, United States District Court of Arizona, 401 West	
11	Washington Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2118.	
12	s/ Indy Fitzgerald	
13		
14	LEGAL19011777.1	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		